

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/051,320	01/18/2002	H. Michael Shepard	NB 2019.00	8000
75	90 11/19/2003	EXAMINER		
McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen LLP Suite 1800 Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111			CRIARES, THEODORE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003	· · []

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
_	10/051,320	SHEPARD, H. MICHAEL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Theodore J. Criares	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rej - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 s	September 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3,5-9,12 and 14-2 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,4 and 11-13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	<u>2</u> is/are withdrawn from conside	ration.			
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		(a) (d) or (f)			
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes are ference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document is made	ats have been received. Its have been received in Application of the central tasks as the control of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and the central tasks as the central tasks are sentence of the specification rovisional application has been retic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	ation No ived in this National Stage ved. 9(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. eceived. 20 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) \(\sum \) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/051,320

Art Unit: 1617

CLAIMS 1-22 ARE PRESENTED FOR EXAMINATION

Applicant's election of © phosphoramidatyl deoxyuridine compounds in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a))

Relaying on the elections made by applicant in papers 7 and 10, claims 1, 4, 10, 11 and 13 have been examined for the treatment of rheumatois arthritis witn a phosphoramidatyl deoxyuridine.

Claims 2, 3, 5-9, 12 and 14-22 are withdrawn from consideration.

OBJECTION TO THE SPECIFICATION

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The definition of R⁴ at page 14, paragraph 0058 appears to be misplaced since there is no previous reference to this radical. Correction or a clearer description is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 4, 10, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for phosphoramidatyl deoxyuridine compounds which fall within the scope of NB 1011, does not reasonably provide enablement for all phosphoramidatyl deoxyuridine compounds. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most

Art Unit: 1617

nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicant admits at page 1, paragraph 0004to page 2, paragraph 0005 that various

biological pathways may be inactivated by tumor suppressing drugs. Applicant's have

provided exemplatory evidence that compounds within the scope of NB 1011 provide

such an effect when treating rheumatoid arthritis.

Therefor, applicants generic claim to all phosphoramidatyl deoxyuridine is

deemed to be beyond the scope of the enabled discloure of the specification.

None of the claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Theodore J. Criares whose telephone number is 308-

4607. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 A.M. to 5:00P.M. Monday

through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 305-1877. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

746-6897.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1235.

éodore J. Criares

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/051,320

Art Unit: 1617